

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165)
mmao@bsflp.com
44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 293 6858
Facsimile: (415) 999 9695

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
William Christopher Carmody (pro hac vice)
bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice)
srabin@susmangodfrey.com
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 336-8330

MORGAN & MORGAN
John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice)
jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
Ryan J. McGee (pro hac vice)
rmcgee@forthepeople.com
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602
Telephone: (813) 223-5505

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs; additional counsel
listed in signature blocks below*

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP
Andrew H. Schapiro (*pro hac vice*)
andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 705-7400
Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)
stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com
Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com
865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Telephone: (213) 443-3000
Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted *pro hac vice*)
jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

*Attorneys for Defendant; additional counsel
listed in signature blocks below*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION**

CHASOM BROWN, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK

**APPOINTMENT OF COMMISSIONERS
UNDER THE HAGUE CONVENTION AND
REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE**

Judge: Honorable Lucy H. Koh

**APPOINTMENT OF COMMISSIONERS UNDER THE HAGUE CONVENTION
AND REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE – PERMISSION TO TAKE EVIDENCE
BY A COMMISSIONER UNDER ARTICLE 17 HAGUE EVIDENCE CONVENTION 1970
TO THE CANTONAL COURT OF ZÜRICH, COURT ADMINISTRATION,
INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE, FOR THE REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL
ASSISTANCE IN CIVIL MATTERS WITH COPY TO THE FEDERAL OFFICE OF
JUSTICE (FOJ), CENTRAL AUTHORITY FOR THE REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL
ASSISTANCE IN CIVIL AND COMMERCIAL MATTERS:**

The United States District Court for the Northern District of California, located at the San Jose Courthouse, Courtroom 8 – 4th Floor, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, CA 95113, presents its compliments to the Federal Office of Justice and has the honor of requesting its assistance in obtaining evidence to be used in a civil proceeding now pending before this Court in the above-captioned matter, specifically by permitting commissioners appointed by this Court to take evidence under Article 17 of the Hague Convention of 18 March 1970 on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters (“Hague Convention”).

It appears to this Court that Mr. Ramin Halavati, whose professional address is: Erika-Mann-Straße 33, 80636 München, Germany, is a fact witness in this action and therefore has evidence relevant to this action. It is necessary for the purposes of justice and for the due determination of the matters in question between the parties that Mr. Halavati be examined (remotely) at the Swiss offices of Quinn Emanuel at Dufourstrasse 29, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland, under oath or affirmation. Given that the deposition would take place (remotely) in the canton of Zurich, Switzerland, the competent authority for the granting of this request is the High Court of the Canton of Zurich, International Judicial Assistance, Hirschengraben 13/15, 8021 Zurich 1, Switzerland. As is customary, a copy of the present Letter of Request is sent to the Federal Office of Justice, Central Authority for the Request for Judicial Assistance in Civil and Commercial Matters, Bundesrain 20, 3003 Bern, Switzerland.

This Court, therefore, respectfully requests your assistance pursuant to the Hague Convention in obtaining the oral deposition testimony of Mr. Halavati under the terms set forth in this Letter of Request:

I. SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. This action is properly under the jurisdiction of and is now pending before the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Courthouse, Courtroom 8 – 4th Floor, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, CA 95113, United States of America. The United States District Court for the Northern District of California is fully sanctioned as a court of law and equity and is authorized by Rule 28(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to direct the taking of evidence abroad by Letters of Request.

2. The parties to the civil action pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California are as follows:

a. The individual, named Plaintiffs on behalf of the class action are Chasom Brown, William Byatt, Jeremy Davis, Christopher Castillo, and Monique Trujillo (collectively, “Plaintiffs”). Plaintiffs are represented by BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP, 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104, USA; SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P., 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor, New York, NY 10019, USA; and MORGAN & MORGAN, 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor, Tampa, FL 33602, USA.

Correspondence to Plaintiffs can be faxed to Plaintiffs’ representatives at +1 (415) 293-6858, +1 (212) 336-8330 or emailed at the following email addresses: mmao@bsflp.com, brichardson@bsflp.com, bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com, srabin@susmangodfrey.com, jyanchunis@forthepeople.com, and rmcgee@forthepeople.com.

b. The Defendant is Google LLC (hereinafter, “Google”). Defendant Google is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its headquarters also in Delaware, USA. Witness Ramin Halavati is an individual who is employed by Google as an Engineering Manager but is a resident of Munich,

Germany. Google is represented by QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, IL 60606, USA; 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017, USA; 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010, USA; 1300 I Street NW, Suite 900, Washington D.C., 20005, USA; 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111, USA. Correspondence to Google can be faxed to their representatives at +1 (312) 705-7401, +1 (213) 443-3100, +1 (212) 849-7100, +1 (202) 538-8100, +1 (415) 875-6700 or emailed at the following email addresses: andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com, sb@quinnemanuel.com, violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com, jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com, josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com, carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com, and jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com.

3. This is a data privacy class action brought by and on behalf of Google subscribers who allege their internet use was tracked by Google, from June 1, 2016 to the present (the “Relevant Period”), while browsing the internet from a browser in private browsing mode. SAC ¶ 11, Dkt. 136. Plaintiffs have sued Google for various causes of action including: (1) Federal Wiretap Violations, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510, *et seq.*; (2) Invasion of Privacy Act Violations, Cal. Penal Code §§ 631 & 632; (3) Violations of The Comprehensive Computer Data Access and Fraud Act (“CDAFA”), Cal. Penal Code §§ 502 *et seq.*; (4) Invasion Of Privacy; (5) Intrusion Upon Seclusion; (6) Breach of Contract; And (7) Violation of California UCL, Cal Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, *et. seq. Id.* ¶¶ 202–284.

4. Plaintiffs contend Google has represented that users “are in control of what information [they] share with Google, meaning that they have the power to limit what data Google tracks, collects, and shares with third parties” and that “one way for users to exercise this control is by setting their web-browsing software (used to connect to websites) to private browsing mode.” SAC ¶ 2. Plaintiffs allege that Google “unlawfully intercepted users’ private browsing communications to collect personal and sensitive information...without disclosure or consent.” FAC ¶ 3.

1 5. Google believes all claims should be dismissed because Plaintiffs and the websites
2 consented to Google's receipt of the data; Plaintiffs fail to state their claims; and Plaintiffs' claims
3 are barred by the statutes of limitations.

4 6. Notices for the deposition of fact witnesses, including Mr. Ramin Halavati, were
5 served on or about November 18, 2021. The parties have agreed to conduct a remote deposition of
6 Mr. Halavati, who will be located for such deposition in Switzerland, in accordance with Chapter II
7 of the Hague Convention.
8

9 **II. EVIDENCE REQUESTED**

10 7. The parties have satisfied this Court that Mr. Ramin Halavati has material
11 information related to this pending action for use at trial, and that justice cannot be completely done
12 between the parties without his testimony.
13

14 8. The parties requested that this Court issue the present Letter of Request seeking your
15 assistance in obtaining testimony from Mr. Ramin Halavati. The evidence to be obtained is oral
16 testimony to be taken in Switzerland, and is intended to be used as evidence in the trial for this
17 matter. Mr. Halavati who is a resident of Munich, Germany has consented to voluntarily travel to
18 Zurich, Switzerland for purposes of this deposition. He has been made aware that by being deposed
19 in Switzerland he forgoes rights under German law related to the deposition but that he will have
20 rights under Swiss law. He has been apprised of his rights under Swiss law and has consented to
21 being deposed in Switzerland.
22

23 9. The Court requests assistance in permitting the commissioners appointed by this
24 Court to take testimony from Mr. Halavati, which will be given voluntarily. The topics of
25 examination may include, but are not limited to:

26 a. Mr. Halavati's role and duties as an employee of Google.

27 b. Knowledge of private browsing, including Incognito mode, for the Chrome browser.
28

1 c. Knowledge of documents related to and produced in this matter.

2 10. This Court is satisfied that the testamentary evidence is relevant to the pending
3 proceeding and is likely to be used at trial to assist this Court in resolving the dispute presented in
4 the civil action before it. With the approval of this Court, the parties and this Court therefore seek
5 permission to have commissioners take this testamentary evidence for the purpose of using such
6 evidence at trial.
7

8 11. It is requested that the testamentary evidence be given in the English language, and
9 on oath or affirmation. However, it is also requested that a German/English translator be allowed to
10 be present and offer translation assistance, if necessary. It is also hereby requested that the testimony
11 be in the form of a recorded remote deposition testimony via a secure session using
12 videoconferencing technology upon questions communicated to the witness by U.S. counsel of the
13 parties, acting as commissioners. It is requested that the testamentary evidence be given at some
14 time agreeable to all involved in January 2022, on or around January 18, 2022.
15

16 12. The parties have agreed on and the Court hereby appoints Mr. Remo Decurtins to
17 serve as commissioner (“the Swiss Commissioner”). Mr. Decurtins is a lawyer with the law firm
18 Quinn Emanuel at Dufourstrasse 29, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland, and admitted to practice as an
19 attorney in Switzerland and New York. In his capacity as commissioner, Mr. Remo Decurtins will
20 complete and oversee the following tasks: liaise with the Swiss authorities, including
21 dispatch/submission of the present Letter of Request to the High Court of the Canton of Zurich,
22 International Judicial Assistance, Hirschengraben 13/15, 8021 Zurich 1, Switzerland, and of a copy
23 of the same to the Federal Office of Justice, Central Authority for the Request for Judicial Assistance
24 in Civil and Commercial Matters, Bundesrain 20, 3003 Bern, Switzerland; act as an agent of service
25 for any communication of the High Court of the Canton of Zurich and/or the Federal Office of
26 Justice to this Court, the parties and Mr. Halavati; invite Mr. Halavati to the deposition once
27
28

1 authorization is granted; verify and confirm the identity of Mr. Halavati before testamentary
 2 evidence is taken; supervise the testimony of Mr. Halavati by remote videoconferencing software
 3 from the Swiss offices of Quinn Emanuel at Dufourstrasse 29, 8008 Zürich, Switzerland; instruct
 4 the witness on his rights and obligations as per Article 21 of the Hague Convention; and ensure that
 5 the testimony is conducted in accordance with those rights and obligations.
 6

7 13. The U.S. counsel of the parties, which the Court upon request of the parties hereby
 8 also appoints as commissioners are the following:

9 a. For Plaintiffs: Beko Reblitz-Richardson, from BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP,
 10 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104

11 b. For Google: Josef Ansorge, from QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
 12 SULLIVAN, LLP at 1300 I Street NW, Suite 900, Washington D.C., 20005, USA.
 13

14 14. In addition to the U.S. counsel and commissioners listed above and of the Swiss
 15 Commissioner, it is also requested that client representatives for each party be allowed to be present,
 16 and that a videographer and a stenographer be present to take and record a verbatim transcript of all
 17 testimony and proceedings in the English language and that the transcript of the testimony be
 18 authenticated. When necessary, persons belonging to the information technology departments of the
 19 law firms of U.S. counsel may enter the rooms where U.S. counsel are remotely attending the
 20 deposition. U.S. counsel, the party representatives, the videographer, and the stenographer may
 21 attend the deposition remotely. The Swiss Commissioner, Mr. Halavati and potentially any of the
 22 appointed other commissioners will attend the deposition by videoconference from the same
 23 location in Zurich. When necessary, a German/English translator will attend, from the same location
 24 as Mr. Halavati or remotely. When necessary, persons belonging to the IT department of the Quinn
 25 Emanuel law firm where the deposition is held may enter the room where Mr. Halavati is remotely
 26 attending the deposition.
 27
 28

1 15. As mentioned, it is requested that the commissioners take Mr. Halavati's testimony
2 in the English language (to which Mr. Halavati has agreed) under oath or affirmation, with
3 potentially a German/English translator being present and offering translation assistance, and that
4 the Swiss Commissioner be allowed to administer such oath or request for affirmation on
5 Mr. Halavati in accordance with United States law, as follows: "Do you swear or affirm that the
6 testimony you are about to provide is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?"
7

8 16. It is also requested that after giving testimony, Mr. Halavati be allowed after
9 completion of the transcript to review, submit any errata, and sign the transcript of his testimony,
10 and that the signed, transcribed, and videotaped testimony together with any documents marked as
11 exhibits be transmitted to the parties' U.S. counsel as soon as possible thereafter.
12

13 17. Accordingly, it is hereby requested that you grant assistance and authorize the Swiss
14 and U.S. commissioners appointed above to question Mr. Halavati under oath or affirmation at the
15 remote deposition between January and March 2022, or at another time determined by you, and that
16 a verbatim transcript and videotape be prepared and be transmitted to the parties' U.S. counsel for
17 submission and use before this Court.

18 18. It is also requested that you inform the Swiss Commissioner, this Court, and the
19 parties through their above-mentioned U.S. counsel of your approval of this Court's request and of
20 all relevant dates and times determined by you for the production of the aforementioned requested
21 testamentary evidence of Mr. Halavati. This Court and U.S. counsel hereby appoint Mr. Remo
22 Decurtins to file the necessary application for authorization with you and act as the agent of service
23 in Switzerland for any and all communication from you in this respect. As mentioned above,
24 Mr. Remo Decurtins's professional address in Switzerland for purpose of your communications is:
25 Dufourstrasse 29, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland.
26
27
28

1 19. This Court expresses its appreciation to the High Court of the Canton of Zurich and
2 the Federal Office of Justice for its courtesy and assistance in this matter and states that this Court
3 shall be ready and willing to assist the courts of Switzerland in a similar manner when required.
4 This Court is also willing to reimburse (through the Parties) the competent judicial authorities of
5 Switzerland for any costs incurred in executing this request for judicial assistance. This Court
6 extends to the competent judicial authorities of Switzerland the assurances of its highest
7 consideration.
8

9 This Letter of Request is signed and sealed by Order of the Court made on the date set forth
10 below:
11

12 **SO ORDERED.**
13

14 DATED: _____

15 _____
16 HON. LUCY H. KOH
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28